

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,039	02/13/2004	Yasuhisa Yoshihara	040058	3896
	590 11/04/2004	EXAMINER		
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW			LAM, CATHY FONG FONG	
SUITE 1000	SUITE 1000			PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		1775	
		•	DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(3\$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/777,039	YOSHIHARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cathy Lam	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Feriod for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT II. by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
)⊠ This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the appl	lication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.	•					
	/ <u> </u>					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
		plication No				
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the Internationa		eceived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948) Paper No(s)/l	Mail Date				
B) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06-10-2004</u> .	O/SB/08) 5) \(\bigcap \) Notice of Info 6) \(\bigcap \) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitano et al (US 6638642) or Yamanishi et al (US 5366814).

Kitano discloses a copper foil having a layer formed over its surface. The layer is one or more metal material selected from indium, tin, cobalt, zinc, cobalt alloys and nickel alloy (col 2 L 54-57).

In one of the examples, Kitano chooses copper-cobalt-nickel alloy as a plating treatment on the copper foil (Example 9, col 6 L 60-col 7 L 4).

In the copper-cobalt-nickel plating treatment, the cobalt concentration is 1-15 g/L, the nickel concentration is 1-15 g/L and the copper concentration is 5-25 g/L (col 5 L 8- $^{\circ}$

10). Therefore, the contents of cobalt and nickel added together is greater than copper.

Yamanishi discloses a copper foil having dendritic surface (3) and a copper layer (4).

The copper layer (4) is formed over the dendrites (3) to keep them in place (col 4 L 19-21 & L 26-28). The copper layer (4) may be replaced by a copper-nickel-cobalt metal alloy layer (col 5 L 1-4).

A silane coupling agent is coated after an anti-corrosive layer (6) is formed onto the copper alloy layer (4) (col 6 L 27-30 & Fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/777,039

Art Unit: 1775

The examiner takes the position that the anti-corrosive layer (6) resembles the stain proof treatment since it prevents rusting.

The prior art teach the present invention but are silent about the Cu-Co-Ni metal alloy is in a form of powder.

In view of Yamanishi's teaching, it would have been obvious to use a Cu-Co-Ni metal alloy powder because the dendrites taught by Yamanishi coated by Cu-Co-Ni metal alloy, thus those dendrites could be Cu-Co-Ni dendrites.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-1538. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cathy Lam

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1775

othy Jum

, iii 31110

cfl

October 29, 2004